



Application for United States Patent

Declaration and Power of Attorney

As a below named inventor, I hereby declare that:

is attached hereto

(check

My residence, post office address and citizenship are as stated below next to my name;

I believe I am an original, first and joint inventor of the subject matter which is claimed and for which a patent is sought on the invention entitled, BLOCK-BASED NEGATIVE FILTERING OF MPEG-2 COMPLIANT TABLE SECTIONS the specification of which:

one)					
	as				
Application Seri	al No				
and was amende	d on (if applicable)				
I hereby state that I have tany amendment referred to		ts of the above identified specification	, including the	e claims, as	amerided by
I acknowledge the duty to of Federal Regulations, § 1		erial to the examination of this applicat	ion in accorda	nce with T	itle 37, Code
	have also identified below any fore	ted States Code, §119 of any foreign ign application for patent or inventor's			
				.	61 1
Fior Foreign Application(s)				Priority Claimed	
None	(01-)	(D (M			_
(Number)	(Country)	(Day/Month/Year Filed)	yes	no	
Number)	(Country)	(Day/Month/Year Filed)	yes	no	_
ន្ទីររៀបject matter of each of th first paragraph of Title 35, of Federal Regulations, §1.	e claims of this application is not di United States Code, § 112, I acknow	e, § 120 of any United States applicat isclosed in the prior United States app wledge the duty to disclose material in iling date of the prior application and t	lication in the life formation as de	manner pro efined in T	vided by the itle 37, Code
date of this application:					
date of this application: None					

Power of Attorney: As a named inventor, I hereby appoint David L. Adour, Reg. No. 29,604, Lawrence R. Fraley, Reg. No. 26,885, John R. Pivnichny, Reg. No. 43,001, Arthur J. Samodovitz, Reg. No. 31,297, William H. Steinberg, Reg. No. 28,540, Christopher A. Hughes, Reg. No. 26,194, Edward A. Pennington, Reg. No. 32,588, John E. Hoel, Reg. No. 26,279, Joseph C. Redmond, Jr., Reg. No 18,573, C. Lamont Whitham, Reg. No. 22,424, Marshall M. Curtis, Reg. No. 33,138, and Michael E. Whitham, Reg. No. 32,635 as attorneys and/or agents to prosecute this application and transact all business in the Patent and Trademark Office connected therewith. All correspondence should be directed to Whitham, Curtis & Whitham, Reston International Center, 11800 Sunrise Valley Drive, Suite 900, Reston, Virginia 20191. Phone calls should be directed to Whitham, Curtis & Whitham, at (703) 391-2510.

I hereby declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent issued thereon.

Docket No.: END00-0027-US1

(1) Eric M. Foster Inventor: 3.23.00 Signature: Residence: 41 Front Street, Owego, New York 13827 Citizenship: U.S.A. Post Office Address: Same As Residence (2) Inventor: Bryan J. Lloyd 3/23/00 Signature: Residence: 205 Rano Blvd., Apt. #5, Vestal, New York 13850 Citizenship: U.S.A.

Citizenship. U.S.

Post Office Address: Same As Residence

..... K. 4.

Post Office Address: Same As Residence

Ų

Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations, §1.56(a):

(a) A duty of candor and good faith toward the Patent and Trademark Office rests on the inventor, on each attorney or agent who prepares on prosecutes the application and on every other individual who is substantively involved in the preparation or prosecution of the application and who is associated with the inventor, with the assignee or with anyone to whom there is an obligation to assign the application. All such individuals have a duty to disclose to the Office information they are aware of which is material to the examination of the application. Such information is material where there is substantial likelihood that a reasonable examiner would consider it important in deciding whether to application to issue as a patent. The duty is commensurate with the degree of involvement in the preparation or prosecution of the application.

(b) Under this section, information is material to patentability when it is not cumulative to information already of record or being made of record in the application, and (1) it establishes, by itself or in combination with other information, a prima facie case of unpatentability; or (2) it refutes, or is inconsistent with, a position the applicant takes in: (i) opposing an argument of unpatentability relied on by the Office, or (ii) asserting an argument of patentability.